IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

)	C/A No.: 3:11-cv-2661-JFA
)	
)	
)	
)	ORDER
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

The *pro se* plaintiff, Ronald Brunson, brings this civil action against the State of South Carolina. In his Complaint, Plaintiff asks this court to grant him monetary relief and "to order clemency, and relief in the State of South Carolina. To never uses Federal machine and technologe agan to mine boby and exwife Angie Lee Brunson and our Children and their Children." (ECF No. 1). Plaintiff filed this action *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

The Magistrate Judge assigned to this action¹ has prepared a thorough Report and Recommendation and opines that the plaintiff's complaint should be summarily dismissed without service of process pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Report sets forth

1

¹ The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

3:11-cv-02661-JFA Date Filed 12/09/11 Entry Number 12 Page 2 of 2

in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates

such without a recitation.

The plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and

Recommendation, which was entered on the docket on October 24, 2011. However, the

plaintiff failed to file objections. In the absence of specific objections to the Report of

the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

After carefully reviewing the applicable laws, the record in this case, and the

Report and Recommendation, this court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation

fairly and accurately summarizes the facts and applies the correct principles of law. The

Report is incorporated herein by reference.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and

service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

December 8, 2011

Columbia, South Carolina

Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.

Joseph F. anderson, J.

United States District Judge

2